

ALBERTA

Miles 1 0 1

 Metres 1000
 0
 1000
 2000
 3000

 Yards 1000
 0
 1000
 2000
 3000

ÉQUIDISTANCE DES COURBES 50 PIEDS

Élévations en pieds au-dessus du niveau moyen de la mer

Système de référence géodésique nord-américain 1927

CONTOUR INTERVAL 50 FEET

Elevations in Feet above Mean Sea Level North American Datum 1927 Transverse Mercator Projection

Copies may be obtained from the Map Distribution Office, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa.

loose or stabilized surface, all weather... gravier aggloméré, toute saison... 2 lanes or more 2 voies ou plus moins de 2 voies

**GENERAL COMMENTS** 

## DEPOSIT CHARACTERISTICS

eposit Material umber Description	(100	erves 00 m³) ∣ Sand	Additional Comments	Gravel	Γexture (%) ∣ Sand	Fines	(%) Wear	Overburden Thickness (m)	Deposit Thickness (m)	Deposit Area (ha)	Deposit Genesis	Additional Comments
1 Gravel	900	100	Gravel, 85%-95%, fine and coarse; sand, mainly fine, silty.	-	-	-	-	0.5	More than 1.8	70	Fluvial-terraces	Overburden of fine sand.
2 Sandy gravel	1160	428	Gravel, 70%-75%, fine and coarse; sand, medium and coarse, clean.	72.5	26.8	0.7	-	0.0	4.5-8.5	35	Glaciofluvial-ice contact	· ·
3 Sandy gravel	134	64	Gravel, 60%-75% fine and coarse; sand, fine, silty.	-	-	-	-	0.0-0.6	2.0	15	Fluvial-terraces	Discontinuous overburden of fine sand.
4 Gravel	59	12	Gravel, 75%-85% fine and coarse; sand, fine to coarse, silty.	78.7	16.3	5.0	-	1.5	3.0-4.5	2	Fluvial-preglacial alluvium	-
5 Gravel	1 20	28	Gravel, 80%, fine and coarse; sand, fine to coarse, clean.	-	-	,-	-	0.0	2.0	7	Glaciofluvial- channel	-
6 Sandy gravel	36	22	Gravel, 50%-70%, fine and coarse; sand, fine and medium, clean.	-	-	-	-	0.5-1.0	1.5-2.0	4	Glaciofluvial- channel	Overburden of silt.
7 Gravel	789	165	Gravel, 80%-85% fine and coarse; sand fine to coarse, silty.	78.9	16.5	4.6	-	0.0-0.5	2.5-7.5	30	Glaciofluvial- ice contact	Discontinuous overburden of silt.
8 Gravel to sand gravel	dy 2589	384	Gravel, 70%-95%, coarse, some fine; sand, fine and medium, clean.	86.3	12.8	0.9	-	0.0-1.5	4.5-7.0	70	Fluvial-terrace	Discontinuous overburden of silt.
9 Gravel to grave sand	velly 91	52	Gravel, 40%-85%, fine and coarse; sand, fine to coarse, clean to dirty.	61.1	34.7	4.2	-	0.8-1.0	2.5-3.5	6	Glaciofluvial- delta	Overburden of silt.
10 Sandy gravel	30	26	Gravel, 60%, fine and coarse; sand, fine to coarse, silty and clayey.	-	-	-	-	0.0	4.0	1.5	Glaciofluvial- ice contact esker	-,
11 Gravel to sand gravel	dy 220	126	Gravel, 50%-75%, fine and coarse; sand, medium and coarse, clean.	77.7	21.3	1.0	-	0.0-1.5	1.8-5.5	15	Glaciofluvial- ice contact	Discontinuous overburden.
12 Sandy gravel	430	254	Gravel, 50% to 65%, fine and coarse; sand, fine to coarse, moderately clean.	61.7	36.4	1.9	-	0.0-1.0	1.2-3.0	60	Fluvial-terrace	Discontinuous overburden of silty sand and silt.
13 Sand and/or gravel	-	-	ldentified on aerial photos as a potential deposit.	-	-	-	,-	-	-	-	_	-,
14 Sand and/or gravel	-	-	Presence of deposit confirmed.	-"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No information available.
								2		,		
gravel  14 Sand and/or			potential deposit.					-	,		-	No ir

**Deposit Number** — Granular deposits shown on this map may have commercial possibilities. That assumption followed from two criteria used in the mapping process: study of the area considered only granular deposits greater than one metre thick, and covering an area more than one the overburden thickness. Although the scale of mapping did not permit investigation of all small deposits, many small deposits containing existing pits are indicated.

Material Description — Sand and gravel has a variety of applications, such as concrete for construction, asphalt concrete, subbase and base course aggregate for roads, gravel and sand for road surfaces, and pit run for fill. Gradation, rock hardness, and binding characteristics, are some of the specific qualities that are considered in aggregate towards determining its end use. This map indicates these, and other, geological qualities of the sand and gravel within each deposit, but does not indicate their potential uses. The terms used in the table are defined in the figure below.

**Reserves** — The method of calculating in cubic metres the aggregate reserves of deposits took four basic steps. First, the area, in hectares, of each deposit was determined using aerial photographs. Second, geological interpretation, sometimes supported by subsurface information, was assumed in determining the geometry of each deposit, to estimate an overall, average deposit thickness in metres. Third, geological study and limited sample analyses determined the texture (gradation) of sediments in the deposit, and an overall average percentage of gravel and sand. Finally, the volume was calculated as follows: reserve gravel (m³) = area (ha) × thickness (m) × 10,000 × % gravel; the same formula was used for sand.


Texture — The texture of the sediment refers to the percentage of particles of various sizes. For mineral aggregate, the most important fractions are the gravel and sand. The actual dimensions of the clasts and particles in these fractions are given in the figure. The values given for a particular deposit were determined from a field estimate, or from laboratory analysis, of one or more samples from that deposit. Where more than one sample is taken the tabulated number is the mean value.


Wear — The resistance of gravel-size clasts to wear or abrasion can be measured in a laboratory test (ASTM-C131, Los Angeles Abrasion Testing). The amount of material that breaks down into smaller sizes is measured and related to the original sample weight in terms of percent wear. The higher the percentage wear the more susceptible the gravel is to breakdown under stress. Gravel with a percentage wear of less than 40 is considered very resistant.

Overburden Thickness — The thickness of non-economic material, or overburden, covering a deposit, sometimes is a limiting factor in the exploitation of an aggregate deposit. The tabulated values given are approximate overburden thicknesses as determined from geological investigations and subsurface testing.

Deposit Area — Deposits in this study were delineated by interpretation of aerial photographs and the contacts should be considered approximate. Information is precise only where test holes, or geological sections, are indicated.

**Deposit Genesis** — The genesis, or formation, of deposits is vital to the understanding of the gradational nature, extent and geometry of the deposit. This understanding forms the basis for extrapolation from a limited number of known points (test holes, pits, sections) and permits an overall assessment of the deposit.





Assumed boundary

Active or inactive pit

 Alberta Geological Survey test hole Sand or gravel exposure

// Buried sand or Gravel deposit

## **Aggregate Resources**

82 J/16 Priddis

This is a sand and gravel resource map prepared by the Alberta Geological Survey as part of a series at a scale of 1:50,000. The series represents an ongoing aggregate inventory of Alberta which provides data for general land-use planning, land management or aggregate exploration. Please note that the delineation of deposits and calculation of reserves are approximations only. Alberta Energy and Natural Resources provides financial support for the Aggregate Inventory.



Cartography by Alberta Research Council, Graphic Services, J.K. Matthie.